

Ste. 146, 207 Bank Str., Ottawa ON K2P 2N2

February 1, 2024

Mayor Mark Sutcliffe Chair, Finance & Corporate Services Committee City of Ottawa

Dear Mayor Sutcliffe:

Re. Community Partnership Insurance Program report at F&CS Committee Feb. 6, 2024

On Tuesday February 6 the City's Finance & Corporate Services Committee will be dealing with a staff report on the future of the City's Community Partnership Insurance Program (CPIP). As you know, the Federation of Citizens Associations (FCA – representing 72 community groups in Ottawa) has been deeply involved in this issue for now 2 years.

We realize that the current CPIP (a closed legacy program) needs revision to ensure better equity on covering the insurance needs of community-based activities. To this end we support the following:

• Continuation of a City-provided insurance-based program for "traditional" community association-type groups engaging in events and activities at the local level, with other groups (cultural, sports, hobby/leisure, etc.) directed to the existing City of Ottawa Civic Events funding stream;

• To be eligible, groups participating in this program be required to: operate within the City of Ottawa as a not-for-profit group; meet the definition of a partner, community group or community association as defined by the City of Ottawa; have been in existence for at least 2 years or have been incorporated; demonstrate financial viability and be in good standing with the City of Ottawa; be located in Ottawa and serve the residents of Ottawa and their local catchment areas as a community group / association; be governed by a democratically elected Board of Directors or Committee, and; demonstrate good governance practices by holding an Annual General Meeting, and also have a Board approved Constitution or by-laws;

 \cdot Provision of Accidental Death and Dismemberment (AD&D) Benefits to cover volunteers as part of this program.

As you know, City staff have conducted two community consultation sessions which attracted nearly 200 participants. City staff outlined 3 options at these sessions:

Option 1 - which mirrors the FCA position above;

Option 2 - which would allocate the current CPIP budget of \$234,000 to the City's Community Funding envelope; community groups must be eligible under Community Funding rules and apply for funds to purchase their own insurance;

Option 3 – similar to Option 2 except the \$234,000 CPIP funds would go to a dedicated Community Insurance Fund; community groups must be eligible and apply for funds to purchase their own insurance.

It should come as no surprise that the <u>overwhelming</u> majority of participants (representing community groups across the city) at these two consultation sessions favoured Option 1.

The advantages of Option 1 to the City is that insurance coverage of current community-based events and activities would continue, and that the closed nature of the current CPIP would end. For non neighbourhood-based events and activities those groups (eg cultural, sports, hobby/leisure) would be directed to the existing Community Funding envelope for funds to support their activities.

The advantages of Option 1 to neighbourhood-based community groups that are eligible (according to the criteria we have proposed) is that the City provides the required insurance for the activity in question, relieving these volunteer-based community groups of the burden of seeking insurance coverage. It enables the traditional activities that the City has supported in the past to continue.

The disadvantages of Option 2 and 3 is that these options shift the burden of purchasing insurance coverage onto community groups, which are volunteer-based and often lack the time, expertise and administrative capacity to seek appropriate insurance. This would create a barrier to the ability of these groups to provide neighbourhood-based activities that many value. As well, as there is a funding limit in both options (\$234,000) community groups would have to compete to access these funds, which may lead to the loss of these activities in some parts of the city.

We believe that Option 1 is both reasonable and financially responsible. It enables those neighbourhood-based activities and events to continue through the provision of City insurance – in essence salvaging the CPIP to continue to support neighbourhood-based activities that residents value.

We ask for your support for this Option.

Yours truly,

Robert Brinker President, FCA brinker@fca-fac.ca